

46

## **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

3 N Lowell Road, Windham, NH 03087 (603) 432-3806 / Fax (603) 432-7362 www.WindhamNH.gov

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 1 2 **Draft Minutes** 3 4 September 8, 2020 - 7:30 pm @ Community Development Department 5 **Physical Location:** 3 North Lowell Road (Community Development Department) 6 **Live Broadcast:** WCTV Channel 20 - Local Cable TV 7 8 Live Stream: http://www.wctv21.com/ 9 **Attendance:** 10 **Chairman Mike Scholz- present (via Zoom)** 11 Vice Chair Bruce Breton- excused 12 Pam Skinner, Secretary- excused 13 Neelima Gogumalla, regular member- present at Community Development 14 Nick Shea, regular member- present at Community Development 15 Betty Dunn, alternate- excused Kevin Hughes, alternate- excused 16 (attendance taken by roll call vote) 17 18 19 Staff: 20 Brian Arsenault- ZBA Administrator/ Code Enforcement 21 Anitra Lincicum- minute taker 22 23 **Chairman Scholz read the following statement:** 24 25 "As Chair of the ZBA, I find that due to the State of Emergency declared by the Governor as a 26 result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order 27 #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, the public body is authorized to meet electronically. 28 Please note that all votes that are taken during the meeting shall be done by roll call vote only. 29 30 Let's start the meeting by taking a roll call attendance. When each member states their 31 presence, please also state whether there is anyone in the room with you during the meeting, 32 which is required under the Right-to-Know law." 33 34 35 **Public Hearing** 36 Case #23-2020: Parcel 16-D-201 (Continued from August 25, 2020) 37 Applicant – Edward N. Herbert Associates, Inc. 38 Owner – Indian Rock Development, LLC 39 **Location – 10 Enterprise Drive** 40 Zoning District - Residential A District, Rural District, Wetland & Watershed Protection 41 District (WWPD), Cobbetts Pond & Canobie Lake Watershed Protection 42 43 **Overlay District (WPOD)** 44 Variance relief is requested to develop a five-lot subdivision for new single-family dwellings to 45 be located on a private road from the following Section(s) 702 & Appendix A-1 to allow 0' of

frontage on a Class V road, shown as Road "A" where 175' is required on a public road.

47

48 Chairman Scholz asked if the applicant wanted to continue the case. Mr. Shayne Gendron from 49 Edward N. Hebert addressed the Board and is representing the applicant. Mr. Gendron was not 50 able to go in front of the Planning Board at the last hearing as he did not have a variance from 51 this Board since the Board continued the case to consult with the town's attorney. Mr. Gendron 52 stated he would like the benefit of a full Board for the case to be heard. Mr. Gendron will not be able to go before the Planning Board before September 22<sup>nd</sup>. Mr. Shea asked if the Board could 53

54 55

Chairman Scholz called a brief recess at 7:40pm.

56 57 58

Chairman Scholz stated there would be a full 5-member Board next Tuesday if needed for a meeting.

59 60 61

A motion was made by Mr. Shea to continue Case #23-2020 to the first case on September 15th, 2020. Seconded by Ms. Gogumalla. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0. Motion passes.

63 64 65

62

Case #11-2020: Parcel 12-A-500

**Applicant - New Hampshire Catholic Charities** 66

**Owner – New Hampshire Catholic Charities** 

Location – 21 Searles Road

69 70 71

67 68

> **Zoning District - Rural District and Cobbetts Pond & Canobie Lake Watershed Protection Overlay District (WPOD)**

check on the availability of the members to hear the case next week.

72

73

74

75

76

Variance relief is requested from **Section(s)** 706.4 and 706.8 to allow five signs to be installed. Specifically from Sec. 706.4 and Sec. 706.8: To allow a building wall sign to be erected 8.1 sf, where the dimensions of signs total 3 sf is required. And four freestanding entrance signs, with one being double sided, to be erected larger (43.3 sf) than the dimensions of signs total 3 sf is required, installed 8' in height, where 6' is required, with no front lot line setback, where 10' is required, along Searles Road in front of the historic recognized stonewall.

77 78 79

Attorney Dan Muller from Cronin, Bisson and Zalinsky on behalf of Catholic Charities addressed the Board. Attorney Muller stated he would like to continue to next week to have a 5member Board next week.

81 82 83

84

80

A motion was made by Mr. Shea to continue Case #11-2020 to September 15, 2020. Seconded by Ms. Gogumalla. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0. Motion passes.

85 86

87 Case #24-2020: Parcel 24-F-631

88 Applicant – Jared Hoole

89 **Owner – Jared & Alisvn Hoole** 

90 **Location – 53 Ryan Farm Road Zoning District – Rural District** 

91 92 93

Variance Relief is requested from **Section(s) 702 & Appendix A-1 and 703.1,** to allow

construction of a 240 sf (12'x20') storage shed. Specifically from **Sec. 702 & Appendix A-1** to allow a 10' rear yard setback, where 30' is required. **And from Sec. 703.1:** To allow a 240-sf shed, where no more than 100 sf is allowed and a 10' rear yard setback, where a shed may be placed no closer than 10' from the lot line in the rear yard is required.

Ms. Gogumalla read the case and the list of abutters into the record. There was no letter of authorization as the applicant is representing himself.

Mr. Jared Hoole, the applicant, addressed the Board and stated he would like to install the shed on his property. Mr. Hoole then read the 5 criteria into the record contained in the public packet. Mr. Arsenault explained that the applicant did not wish to remove the mature trees from the property and the mature trees were consistent with the use of the property. The applicant is applying for a 10 by 20 foot shed on the property. The applicant has no other sheds on his property. Mr. Arsenault stated that the application is very thorough and was put together by the applicant himself. Mr. Arsenault stated that six of the neighbors are in support of the project and all of those neighbors wrote letters in support of the project.

Ms. Gogumalla asked how close the shed was to the closest structure on the neighbor's property. Mr. Hoole stated that there is a swing set about 50 to 60 feet from the neighbor's swing set. Mr. Hoole stated that the shed is nowhere near the neighbor's house. Mr. Hoole stated this is the only location where trees would not need to be cut down. The shed would have household vehicles like lawnmowers and other materials according to the applicant. Mr. Hoole stated that some of the shed is pre-made and will be brought to the location and assembled on site. The Board mentioned doing two smaller sheds next to each other would not be advisable; it is not if for no other reason than it would be more costly to the applicant even if it were more compliant with the building allowances. Ms. Gogumalla read the letter from the Conservation Commission who sees no issues with the plan at this time. Ms. Gogumalla read a letter of support contained in the public packet from Ernie and Jennifer Makris. A similar letter was signed by Scott and Julie Hayward, John and Lisa Tarabocchia, Brian and Kelly Fillion, and Adam and Carla Minsky. Chairman Scholz asked if the applicant was comfortable conditioning that there could not be

There was a 5-minute recess at 8:10pm to attempt to screenshare with the applicant so that he could show pictures of the shed. The meeting resumed at 8:18pm.

more than one shed on the property. 12 by 20 is in the application and Chairman Scholz has

Mr. Hoole shared his screen to show the Board the mature trees and the stakes where the shed would be located; Mr. Hoole showed the Board where he would like to place the shed on his property.

A motion was made by Ms. Gogumalla to go into Deliberative session at 8:21pm. Seconded by Mr. Shea. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0. Motion passes.

138 Chairman Scholz stated that the only relief required is 703.1.

adjusted his application with the correct measurement.

140 Mr. Shea reviewed the variance criteria and he does not see it as contrary to any of the variance 141 criteria as presented. Mr. Shea stated that he does think that the homeowner does need the shed 142 for the use of his property.

143

- 144 A motion was made by Ms. Gogumalla for Case #24-202: Parcel 24-F-631 to grant relief
- 145 from Sec. 703.1: To allow a 240-sf shed, where no more than 100 sf is allowed and a 10' rear
- 146 yard setback, where a shed may be placed no closer than 10' from the lot line in the rear
- 147 yard is required per plan dated August 11, 2020 and signed and dated by the Chair.
- 148 Seconded by Mr. Shea. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz-yes. 149 **Vote 3-0. Motion passes.**

150

- 151 A motion was made by Ms. Gogumalla for Case #24-202: Parcel 24-F-631 that variance
- 152 relief from Section 702 and Appendix A-1 is not required for this application. Seconded by
- 153 Mr. Shea. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0.
- 154 155 Motion passes.

- 156 Case #25-2020: Parcel 22-L-30
- Applicant Cronin, Bisson & Zalinsky, P.C. 157
- 158 **Owner - David and Elena Richards**
- 159 **Location - 46 West Shore Road** 
  - **Zoning District Residential A District and Cobbetts Pond & Canobie Lake Watershed Protection Overlay District (WPOD)**

161 162 163

164

165 166

167 168

160

Variance relief is requested from Section 702 and Appendix A-1: To allow construction of a new 1866 +/- sf two-bedroom single family dwelling (SFD) on a pre-existing non-conforming lot of record that contains 4,791 +/- sf of building area where a minimum land area of 50,000 sf is required. To allow the SFD a 11' southerly side yard setback and a 15' northerly side yard setback, where 30' is required. To allow the SFD a 28' rear yard setback, where 30' is required. To allow the SFD a 25' front yard setback from West Shore Road, where 50' is required. To allow 50' of frontage along West Shore Road, where 175' is required.

169 170 171

172

Mr. Dan Muller addressed the Board and is representing the applicant. Attorney Muller would like to continue the case to have a 5-member Board. The Board discussed that they also must determine if this is different than the previous application using the Fisher v. Dover test.

173 174 175

176

A motion was made by Mr. Shea to Case #25-2020 to September 15th, 2020. Shea. Seconded by Ms. Gogumalla. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0. Motion passes.

177 178

179 Chairman Scholz wished to apologize to the public as there was an election today, hence, they 180 are having am meeting outside their regular meeting in order to hear cases with a full Board.

181

**Public Meeting** 

182 183

184 Legislative/Staff Updates

185

- 186 Mr. Arsenault stated that they are considering a 3-day virtual annual conference and he will
- 187 communicate the dates to the Board when he has more information.

A motion was made by Ms. Gogumalla to adjourn at 8:38pm. Seconded by Mr. Shea. Roll call vote: Ms. Gogumalla, Mr. Shea, and Chairman Scholz- yes. Vote 3-0. Motion passes.

191 Respectfully submitted by Anitra Lincicum

190

## Description